

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

un

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,774	06/27/2003	Jean Farineau	Q76215	6384
23373 7590 06/13/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAM	INER
			BURD, KEVIN MICHAEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2611	
			MẠIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/606,774	FARINEAU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin M. Burd	2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. The ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	<u> 0 April 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ 7	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-6 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 April 2007 is/are:		•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	,	, ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	∧ □					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 10/606,774 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

1. This office action, in response to the remarks filed 4/10/2007, is a final office action.

Response to Arguments

- 2. The previous objections to the drawings and specification are withdrawn in view of the amendment.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 4/10/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding claim 1, applicant states Lebizay does not disclose the limitation stated in the performing a statistical analysis step. The examiner disagrees. As stated in the previous office action, Lebizay discloses transmitting a control packet, which provides the receiving side with a repetitive pattern value in column 2, lines 23-31. Lebizay discloses the repetitive pattern is detected, regenerated and inserted in the output sample stream (column 2, lines 11-15). To detect a repetitive pattern, each bit of the pattern is detected. Each bit will be properly detected or not and when a majority (all) of the bits are detected properly, the pattern will be detected. Therefore, a "majority vote of the state for each bit of the channel" is determined. Each state of the bit will be correct or not. This analysis of the bits is the statistical analysis. For this reason, the rejection of claim is maintained. Regarding claim 7, Lebizay discloses a data transmission system comprising a compressor and decompressor as well as the limitations stated above. In addition, MPEP 2111.04 discloses claim scope is not limited by claim language that suggests or makes optional but does not limit a claim to a

particular structure. An example of claim language that may raise a question to the limiting effect of the claim language in a claim is adapted to clauses.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lebizay et al (US 6,144,658).

Regarding claim 1, Lebizay discloses a method and apparatus for digital data compression. Data is separated into frames comprising bits and corresponding time slots. Data is transmitted from a transmitter to a receiver. The data will be transmitted until a repetitive pattern is detected (column 2, lines 8-22). Therefore, the content of the channel will be transmitted after the channel has gone to a static state but before the static state has been detected. After detection of the repetitive pattern in the receiver side, a control packet (descriptor) provides the receiver side with the repetitive pattern value (column 2, lines 23-31). Analysis of the received signal is done at the receiver and the repetitive pattern is regenerated and inserted in the output sample stream (column 2, lines 13-15).

Regarding claim 7, Lebizay discloses a data transmission system comprising a compressor and decompressor as shown in figure 1 and described in column 3, line 58 Art Unit: 2611

to column 4, line 51. This apparatus can be adapted to implement any method of data compression and decompression using the compressor and decompressor.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin M. Burd whose telephone number is (571) 272-3008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 am - 5 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,774 Page 5

Art Unit: 2611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kevin M. Burd 6/7/2007

KEVIN BURD PRIMARY EXAMINER